Single Bench

(1) (3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

CHHATTISGARH

W.P. NO. 480 /2004

PETITIONER : Dashrath Lal Baraiha
S/o. Late R.D. Baraiha
Aged about 46 years,
R/o. Vikas Nagar Lakhali
Ward No.29, House No.37
Rajnandgaon, District
Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

P.R. No. 4882/04
Presented by Shri C.P. Khetrapal
dated 15-12-04

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :
1. Durg-Rajnandgaon Gramin Bank
G.E. Road, Rajnandgaon
District Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

2. The Chair Man
Durg-Rajnandgaon Gramin Bank
G.E. Road, Rajnandgaon
District Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

3. Shri Harish Chandra
President
Durg-Rajnandgaon Gramin Bank
G.E. Road, Rajnandgaon
District Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

शपथ आयुक्त
एस.के. मूलि...
बिलासपुर (छ.ग.)
बिलासपुर तहसील कोर्ट

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF

CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

1. **PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER**

As set-out in the cause title above.

881

(23)

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP 4801 **सन्** 2004

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	14-01-2005 Shri Goutam Khetrapal, Counsel for the petitioner. Heard. This petition has been filed by the petitioner challenging the order dated 03-12-2004 (Annexure P-1) whereby he has been transferred from Durg Rajnandgaon Gramin Bank, Branch Sankara District Rajnandgaon to Durg Rajnandgaon Gramin Bank, Branch Bodala, District Kavardha. Petitioner is challenging his transfer on the ground that the distance between Sankara and Kavardha is more than 250 Kms. and that his wife is suffering from various diseases. To demonstrate this number of medical papers have also been filed as per Annexure P-2. He further submits that the petitioner was posted at the present place of posting from the date of his joining the services. Taking in to consideration the fact that the transfer is an incident of service and Hon'ble the Supreme Court in number of decisions has taken a stand that in the matters of transfer interference should not be made unless it is done in violation of rules or due to some mala fide. In this case I do not find any reason to interfere with the transfer order passed against the petitioner. Asccordingly the petition is dismissed. Sd/- Dhirendra Mishra Judge	